

INSTRUCTIONS ON COMPLETING FORM

Page 1

1. Please use Times New Roman font, size 12 in the whole text.
 2. Page setup: size letter, margins: left and right: 3-3 cm, top and bottom: 2-2 cm.
 3. Keep abstract concise - page 1 must be limited to a *maximum of 500 words*.
 4. Deadline for sending abstract: December 4, 2015 and for late-breaking contributions: January 15, 2016!
 5. Acknowledgement (if any) should only be made with the grant-number
 6. Title (CAPITAL LETTERS only if necessary e.g., MS/style bold, align, centre/12)
 7. References should be displayed as follows: [1] Author, A1., Author, A2., ... *J. Sep. Sci.* **29** (2006) 1120-1126. / style regular, non-justified text, no indent/12
-

Page 2

The second page is used to provide further information of your presentation, and this may include schematic/image, figure, table, and photographs with legends describing those in reasonable details.

The second page of the submission is intended to help the reviewer for making decision on the format of the presentation, and on the inclusion of the work in the Scientific program of the symposium.

Peer Review: Scoring Your Abstract

Your abstract will be reviewed independently by two scientists with expertise in the session topic. The review will be blind to your name, institution or position status and will be based solely on the information that you provide in the technical description. *Four criteria* will be used to score each abstract: 1) Originality, 2) Technical Description, 3) Significance to Field, 4) Session Fit. Each criteria will be scored from 1 to 10, generating a total score potential of 40. The following qualitative descriptions will be used to associate a score of 1-10. You will be notified mid-January whether your abstract has been accepted for oral presentation, poster presentation or not selected for presentation. The score that your abstract receives from each reviewer will be disclosed to you with this notification.

Originality

- 0 No Originality
1 to 3 Many other well designed studies published like this one
4 to 7 Few similar studies to this
8 to 10 Unique and innovative

Technical Description

- 0 to 3 Inadequate analysis; conclusions not supported by data
4 to 6 Deficient analysis; conclusions partially related to data
7 to 10 Appropriate analysis; conclusions supported by data

Significance

- 0 to 3 Little if any significance; does not advance the field
4 to 6 Modest contribution; incrementally advances the field
7 to 10 Important contribution; a major advance to the field

Fit to Session

- 0 The work does not fit session topic nor does it contain microscale separations
1 to 3 Microscale separations are noted, but play a minor role in the work
4 to 7 Microscale separations are the focus, but the application is very loosely fitted to the session topic
8 to 10 Strong focus on microscale/nanoscale separations with good fit to session topic

ABSTRACT TEMPLATE FOR MSB 2016

Session Topic:
(Select Session)

Presentation Type:
(Select preference)

Title:

Background:

Methods:

Results:

Conclusion:

References:

ABSTRACT TEMPLATE FOR MSB 2016

Abstract Graphic: Include an illustrative scheme, figure and/or image that best highlights significance of work with a concise one sentence summary. Image resolution should be 300 dpi (colour) with width of 8.47 cm and height of 4.76 cm that is relatively free of text and technical characters.

Abstract Summary:

Abstract Graphic: